

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru K. Venugopal Member

Thiru S. Nagalsamy Member

S.M.P.No.3 of 2012

Tmt. S. Jothimani Petitioner
Thiru K.S. Palanisamy

versus

1. AE/O&M, East, Erode EDC Respondents
2. AEE/O&M, Erode EDC
3. EE/Erode EDC

Hearing date: 11-07-2013

DAILY ORDER

Orders pronounced in the open court.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru K. Venugopal Member
Thiru S. Nagalsamy Member

M.P.No.35 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Thiru P.H. Vinod Pandian
Standing Counsel

versus

NilRespondent

Hearing date: 11-07-2013

DAILY ORDER

Orders pronounced in the open court.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru K. Venugopal Member
Thiru S. Nagalsamy Member

M.P.No.33 of 2012

M/s. Dharmapurai Paper Mills Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner
Advocate Rahul Balaji

versus

1. Director/ Distribution, TANGEDCORespondent
2. CE/Commercial Thiru P.H. Vinod Pandian
3. SE/ Dharmapuri EDC Standing Counsel

Hearing date: 11-07-2013

DAILY ORDER

Orders pronounced in the open court.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru K. Venugopal Member

Thiru S. Nagalsamy Member

M.P.No.18 of 2012

TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner
Thiru P.H. Vinod Pandian
Standing Counsel

versus

M/s. Arun Vyapar Udyog P Ltd.
and others

..... Respondents

Hearing date: 11-07-2013

DAILY ORDER

Orders pronounced in the open court.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
Central Act 36 of 2003

PRESENT:-

Thiru K. Venugopal Member
Thiru S. Nagalsamy Member

I.A. Nos. 1 & 2 of 2012 in DRP No.11 of 2012

Sree Rengaraaj Ispat Industries Petitioner
Pvt. Ltd Advocate K. Seshadri

versus

1. TNEB Respondents
2. CE/PPP, TNEB
3. SE/Erode EDC Thiru P.H. Vinod Pandian
Standing Counsel

Hearing date: 11-07-2013

DAILY ORDER

Orders pronounced in the open court.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
Central Act 36 of 2003

PRESENT:-

Thiru K. Venugopal Member
Thiru S. Nagalsamy Member

I.A. Nos. 1 & 2 of 2012 in DRP No.12 of 2012

Sree Rengaraaj Ispat Industries Petitioner
Pvt. Ltd Advocate K. Seshadri

versus

1. TNEB Respondents
2. CE/PPP, TNEB
3. SE/Erode EDC Thiru P.H. Vinod Pandian
Standing Counsel

Hearing date: 11-07-2013

DAILY ORDER

Orders pronounced in the open court.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru K. Venugopal **Member**

Thiru S. Nagalsamy **Member**

I.A. Nos. 1 of 2013 in PPAP No.6 of 2013

M/s. ARS Metals Pvt. Ltd

..... Petitioner
Advocate K. Seshadri

versus

1. TANGEDCO
2. CE/PPP, TANGEDCO

..... Respondents
Thiru P.H. Vinod Pandian
Standing Counsel

Hearing date: 11-07-2013

DAILY ORDER

The petition is admitted. The respondents shall file the counter within 4 weeks. The Petitioner in I.A.No.1 of 2013 prays for permission to interconnect the unit with the grid and for injecting the infirm power between synchronization and declaration of commercial operation. TANGEDCO has no objection to this arrangement subject to the Commission's fixing the tariff for sale of infirm power. The respondent desires the petitioner to approach the TANGEDCO for synchronization and for absorption of the infirm power as per this order. The CE/PPP, TANGEDCO clarifies that this process takes a short time not exceeding one week. The actual date of COD and the

quantum of infirm power injected into the grid etc. shall be argued at the time of hearing of the main petition. I.A.No.1 of 2013 is disposed of accordingly. The main petition will be listed after the counter is filed.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru K. Venugopal **Member**

Thiru S. Nagalsamy **Member**

I.A. Nos. 1 of 2013 in PPAP No. 8 of 2013

M/s. Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd **Petitioner**
Advocate K. Seshadri

versus

1. TANGEDCO **Respondents**
2. CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Thiru P.H. Vinod Pandian
Standing Counsel

Hearing date: 11-07-2013

DAILY ORDER

The petition is admitted. The respondents shall file the counter within 4 weeks. The petitioner in I.A.No.1 of 2013 prays for permission to interconnect the unit with the grid and also to inject the infirm power into the system. Unit I of the petitioner's plant in the same location was permitted to interconnect and inject the power into the grid. The parties have stated that the unit I was synchronized on 1-4-2013 and the COD was declared with an output of 74 MW on 16th April 2013 and 80 MW on 10th June 2013. As regards Unit II which is covered by this petition, TANGEDCO has no objection to interconnect with the grid subject to the Commission's fixing the tariff for sale of infirm power. Permission is granted to interconnect and inject the infirm power. The petitioner shall approach the TANGEDCO for

interconnection and injection of infirm power and TANGEDCO shall arrange the same within a week's time. The date of synchronization, the date of declaration of COD and the quantum of infirm power injected into the system shall be furnished at the time of filing the detailed hearing of the main petition. I.A.No.1 of 2013 is disposed of accordingly. The main petition will be listed after the counter is filed.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission