

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal **Member**
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

D.R.P.No. 4 of 2012

Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd.,
Morepatty Post, Velvarkottai, Vadamadurai. Petitioner
Thiru. R.S. Pandiyaraj, Advocate

Vs.

- 1) The Chief Financial Controller (Revenue)
TNEB,144, Anna Salai, Chennai.
- 2) The Superintending Engineer
Dindigul Electricity Distribution Circle
Dindigul.

.....Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing
Counsel for the Respondents

Daily Order

Date of hearing : 29-5-2012

Thiru Parthsarathy, Junior Counsel of Thiru R.S. Pandiyaraj appeared for the petitioner. Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian Standing counsel for the respondents was present. He prayed for an extension of time upto 5-6-2012 to file counter. The time to file counter was extended till 5-6-2012.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K.Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal **Member**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

D.R.P. No. 7 of 2011

Saheli Exports Pvt., Ltd., **Petitioner**
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO **Respondent**
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian
Standing Counsel

Hearing date : 29-5-2012

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. Consequent to the order of the APTEL, this matter was further argued. TANGEDCO agreed to file a detailed affidavit by 8th June 2012. A copy of the affidavit may be served on to the Petitioner. The matter may be listed thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal **Member**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

D.R.P. No. 7of 2012

Vish Wind Infrastructure LLP Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian
Standing Counsel

Hearing date : 29-5-2012

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for the both side were present. Respondent filed counter.
The case is posted for arguments to the next sitting.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal Member

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

D.R.P. No. 8 of 2012

Vaayu (India) Power Corporation Pvt., Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian
Standing Counsel

Hearing date : 29-5-2012

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for the both side were present. Respondent filed counter.
The case is posted for arguments to the next sitting.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal Member

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

D.R.P. No. 9 of 2012

T. T. Ltd., Petitioner
Thiru. R. S. Pandiyaraj, Advocate

Versus

1. TANGEDCO
2. Director Finance
3. CFC, TANGEDCO
4. CE,NCES, TANGEDCO
5. SE, Udumalpet EDC

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian
Standing Counsel

Hearing date : 29-5-2012

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Parthsarathy, Junior Counsel of Thiru R.S. Pandiyaraj appeared for the petitioner. Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian Standing counsel for the respondents was present. He prayed for an extension of time upto 10-6-2012 to file counter. He was directed to file counter by 8-6-2012.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal Member

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

D.R.P. No. 10 of 2012

T. T. Industries. Petitioner
Thiru. R. S. Pandiyaraj, Advocate

Versus

1. TANGEDCO
2. Director Finance
3. CFC, TANGEDCO
4. CE,NCES, TANGEDCO
5. SE, Udumalpet EDC

..... Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian
Standing Counsel

Hearing date : 29-5-2012

DAILY ORDER

Thiru Parthsarathy, Junior Counsel of Thiru R.S. Pandiyaraj appeared for the petitioner. Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian Standing counsel for the respondents was present. He prayed for an extension of time upto 10-6-2012 to file counter. He was directed to file counter by 8-6-2012.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal Member

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

M.P. No. 5 of 2012

Indian Wind Power Association Petitioner
Thiru. Rahul balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO
2)CE, NCES, TANGEDCO Respondents
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel

Hearing date : 29-5-2012

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for the both side were present. The counsel for the respondent prayed time for filing counter. He was directed to file counter by 8-6-2012.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal

.... **Member**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy

.... **Member**

M.P. No. 7 of 2012

The Southern India Mills Association

..... **Petitioner**

Thiru. N.L. Rajah, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO

..... **Respondents**

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel

Hearing date : 29-5-2012

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for the both side were present. The counsel for the respondent prayed time for filing counter. He was directed to file counter by 8-6-2012.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal **Member**
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

P.P.A.P. No. 9 of 2011

The Chief Engineer / NCES
TANGEDCO, 144, Anna Salai, Chennai. Petitioner
Vs.
1) Arashi Hi-Tech Bio-Power Ltd., and 4 others. Respondents

Daily Order

Date of hearing : 29-5-2012

Thiru. Subburaj, AD, NCES presented the case. The Chief Engineer, NCES was also present. The CE, NCES was directed to present the case. After brief arguments, TANGEDCO agreed to file a detailed affidavit indicating the present position of stoppage of generation by various respondents and reasons for stoppage and also termination of the agreements by the petitioner and respondents. The case will be taken up after examining the affidavit to be filed by TANGEDCO.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K.Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal

.... **Member**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy

.... **Member**

R.A. No. 4 of 2011

1) Tamil Nadu Electricity Consumer Association
2) The Southern India Mills Association

.... **Petitioners**
Thiru. N.L. Rajah, Advocate

Vs.

TNEB

..... **Respondent**

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel

Hearing date : 29-5-2012

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. The learned Advocate Thiru R.Kumar representing the Petitioner submitted that the Power Factor Incentive may be allowed for the period from 01-08-2010 to 25-10-2010. He filed a copy of the type set. The petitioner was advised to file an affidavit before 7th June 2012. The Respondent TANGEDCO shall also react on this proposal. The TANGEDCO clarified that they have filed an appeal in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India which has been numbered as Civil Appeal Nos.267-268 of 2012. The matter shall be listed after two weeks.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal

.... **Member**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy

.... **Member**

S.M.P. No. 3 of 2012

1) Tmt. S. Jothimani

2) Thiru. K.S. Palanisamy

.... **Petitioners**

Vs.

1) AE, O&M, East Erode EDC

2) AEE, O&M, Erode EDC

3) EE, Erode EDC

..... **Respondents**

Hearing date : 29-5-2012

DAILY ORDER

Thiru. K. S. Palanisamy 2nd petitioner was present. He also represented the first petitioner Thirumathi. S. Jothimani. All the three officers were present. EE, Erode EDC stated that he apologises for the violation. He also stated that action was taken under regulation 19 of the Supply Code which is similar to section 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003. Section 126 and the corresponding regulation 19 deal with unauthorized use of energy and it has been stated by the EE, Erode EDC that the said provisions have not been followed in this case and disconnection of the supply was done without proper notice. All the three officers undertake to restore the supply immediately. Thiru K.S. Palanisamy, the complainant represented that the pumpset which is sanctioned for agricultural purpose is used by him only for agricultural purposes. The detailed order will be issued by the Commission separately.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission