

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal Member

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

P.P.A.P. No. 1 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel
Versus

National Energy Trading and Services Ltd., Respondent

Hearing date : 21-6-2012

DAILY ORDER

Orders pronounced in the open court.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal Member

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

M.P.No. 11 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel
Versus
Nil Respondent

Hearing date : 21-6-2012

DAILY ORDER

Orders pronounced in the open court.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal **Member**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

M.A.P.No. 2 of 2007 and M.A.P.No.1 of 2012

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| TNEB | | Petitioner |
| | Versus | |
| Samalpatti Power Company Pvt., Ltd., | | Respondent |
| | Thiru. P.R. Raman, Advocate for the Respondent | |
| | and | |
| Samalpatti Power Company Pvt., Ltd., | | Petitioner |
| | Thiru. P.R. Raman, Advocate for the | |
| | | Petitioner |
| | Versus | |
| TANGEDCO | | Respondent |
| | Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing | |
| | | Counsel for the Respondent |

Hearing date : 21-6-2012

DAILY ORDER

M.A.P. No. 2 of 2007 filed by erstwhile TNEB now TANGEDCO is admitted consequent to withdrawal of the Writ Petition filed by M/s. Samalpatti Power Company Pvt., Ltd., The second petition for determination of capital cost filed by M/s.Samalpatti Power Company which is numbered as M.A.P.No.1 of 2012 filed in May 2012 is also admitted. Both the parties shall file the counter within a period of four weeks. The matter shall be listed thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal **Member**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

M.P.No. 17 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel
Versus
Nil Respondent

Hearing date : 21-6-2012

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Financial Controller / Revenue, TANGEDCO presented the case. After hearing the Commission passed the following order:

1) Time extension sought for submitting a comprehensive proposal for fuel price adjustment charges is extended upto July 2012 as prayed for. The petitioner should not approach for further extension in this regard.

2) Cost to serve model shall be submitted by the end of October 2012.

3) Details of additional capitalization clearly bringing out the cost benefit analysis and benefits which accrue to the consumers shall be submitted to the Commission by August 2012.

With these directions M.P.No.17 of 2012 is disposed off. The detailed order will be hosted in the website.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal Member

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

M.P.No. 18 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel
Versus
Nil Respondent

Hearing date : 21-6-2012

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer / Commercial presented the case. After hearing the Commission passed the following order:

M.P.No.18 of 2012 was admitted. During the course of argument it was pointed out by TANGEDCO that further 60 persons have approached the High Court and obtained similar relief. All of them shall be impleaded as respondents in this matter. The petition shall be hosted on the website of TANGEDCO. Individual notices should be served to the respondents. The respondents shall file counter within a period of four weeks. The matter shall be listed thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal Member

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

I.A.No.1 of 2012 in R.P.No. 2 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel
Versus
Nil Respondent

Hearing date : 21-6-2012

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Financial Controller / Revenue, TANGEDCO presented the case. I.A.No.1 of 2012 in R.P.No.2 of 2012 is taken up. The request for condonation of delay of 45 days is granted. The matter shall be listed for arguments on admission.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal Member

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

M.P.No. 19 of 2012

Christian Medical College Petitioner

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel

Hearing date : 21-6-2012

DAILY ORDER

Thiru. J.P.Peter, General Superintendent of Christian Medical College presented the case. After hearing, the petition was admitted. The respondent was directed to file counter within four weeks. The matter shall be listed thereafter.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal **Member**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

M.P.No. 10 of 2012

TANGEDCO Petitioner
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel

Versus

Nil Respondent

Hearing date : 21-6-2012

DAILY ORDER

The Chief Engineer/Commercial, TANGEDCO presented the case. After hearing, the Commission passed the following order:

M.P.No.10 of 2012 was hosted in the website of TNERC as well as TANGEDCO. The Commission received comments from about 15 consumers. These comments were passed on to TANGEDCO. During the arguments today, TANGEDCO informed that about 600 consumers have approached the Madras and Madurai Bench of the High Court and similar orders were passed in all these matters. This petition will be heard on 10th July 2012 at 10 hours. The venue of hearing is Institution of Engineers Building, Sivananda Salai, Chepauk, Chennai – 600 005. TANGEDCO also informed that they had inserted advertisement regarding M.P.No.10 of 2012 in Dinamani dated 26-4-2012 and Indian Express dated 26-4-2012. Copies of these advertisement shall be filed before the Commission. TANGEDCO is directed to insert advertisement in newspaper with regard to hearing scheduled on 10-7-2012.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal Member

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

M.P.No. 24 of 2011

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| TANGEDCO | | Petitioner |
| | Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel | |
| Nil | Versus | |
| | | Respondent |

Hearing date : 21-6-2012

DAILY ORDER

Thirumathi. Hema, Executive Engineer, TANGEDCO presented the case. Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing counsel for TANGEDCO filed current status report. The Commission directed TANGEDCO to follow other actions as per section 63 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal **Member**
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

I.A.No.1 of 2012 in D.R.P.No. 4 of 2012

Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd.,
Morepatty Post, Velvarkottai, Vadamadurai. **Petitioner**
Vs.

- 1) The Chief Financial Controller (Revenue)
TNEB,144, Anna Salai, Chennai.
- 2) The Superintending Engineer
Dindigul Electricity Distribution Circle
Dindigul.**Respondents**

Daily Order

Date of hearing : 21-6-2012

Thiru. R.Parthasarathy, Junior of Thiru. R.S.Pandiyaraj appeared for the petitioner. Counsel for the respondent Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian filed counter. After hearing the Commission passed the following order:

In D.R.P.No.4 of 2012, the counter filed by TANGEDCO indicates that the 2nd Respondent / Superintending Engineer, Dindigul Electricity Distribution Circle, Dindigul has been instructed to revise the bill for May 2010. Corrective action may be taken in view of clarified position in para 7 of the counter affidavit. Let the issue be resolved within a period of one month and compliance report filed.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K.Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal

.... **Member**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy

.... **Member**

M.P.No. 12 of 2012

Gangadhar Narsingdas Agarwal

..... **Petitioner**

Thiru. Srinath Sridevan, Advocate

Versus

TNEB

..... **Respondent**

Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel

Hearing date : 21-6-2012

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. Heard the parties. The standing counsel for TANGEDCO prayed for extension of time for filing counter. Four weeks time extension as prayed for is granted.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal **Member**

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

M.P.No. 14 of 2012

1) Indian Wind Power Association
2)TATA Power Company Ltd.,
3) Ushdev Power Holdings Pvt., Ltd., **Petitioners**
Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate

Versus

1) TANGEDCO
2) LDC **Respondents**
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel

Hearing date : 21-6-2012

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. Heard the parties. The standing counsel for TANGEDCO prayed for extension of time for filing counter. Six weeks time extension is granted for filing counter. No further extension of time will be granted.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal **Member**
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

D.R.P. No. 15 of 2011

1) Terra Energy Ltd.,
2) Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., **Petitioners**
Versus
1)TNEB
2) TANGEDCO
3) CE, Operation, SLDC
4) PTC India Ltd., **Respondents**

Daily Order

Date of hearing : 21-6-2012

Counsel for both side were present. Thiru. Atul Nanda, Senior Advocate appeared for Respondent 4. The standing counsel for TANGEDCO prayed for adjournment. The counsels for the petitioners have also no objection for granting adjournment. The jurisdiction issue decided in D.R.P.No.16 of 2011 will also apply to this case. The Learned Senior Counsel for respondent No.4 agrees to file the counter. The case is posted on 20-7-2012 at 2.30pm.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K.Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal **Member**
Thiru.S.Nagalsamy **Member**

D.R.P. No. 16 of 2011

1) Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd.,
2) Terra Energy Ltd., **Petitioners**
Versus
1)TNEB
2) TANGEDCO
3) CE, Operation, SLDC
4) PTC India Ltd., **Respondents**

Daily Order

Date of hearing : 21-6-2012

Counsel for both side were present. Thiru. Atul Nanda, Senior Advocate appeared for Respondent 4. The standing counsel for TANGEDCO prayed for adjournment. The counsels for the petitioners have also no objection for granting adjournment. The case is posted on 20-7-2012 at 2.30pm.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K.Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003
Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venugopal Member

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy Member

M.P. No. 33 of 2011

RL Clean Power Pvt., Ltd., Petitioner
Versus

1) TANGEDCO

2) SE, Sivagangai EDC Respondents

Hearing date : 21-6-2012

DAILY ORDER

Counsel for both side were present. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.....)
(S. Nagalsamy)
Member

(Sd.....)
(K. Venugopal)
Member

/ True Copy /

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

