

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**  
**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

Thiru.K.Venugopal ..... Member

Thiru.S.Nagalsamy ..... Member

**P.P.A.P.No. 2 of 2012**

TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner  
Thiru. P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel

Versus

Nil ..... Respondent

**Hearing date : 21-5-2012**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru P.H. Vinod Pandian, Standing Counsel for the petitioner was present. Thiru Sheshadri, CE, PPP presented the case. During the hearing revised statement for power requirement was submitted. The requirement of power was projected at 50Hz. Even the statement submitted today does not include any power purchase from the power exchanges, which offer competitive price during certain periods of the year. During the previous years, the TNEB / TANGEDCO had purchased power from the Energy Power exchanges at competitive rates and therefore this cannot be totally excluded. The availability of wind power has been considered on an annual basis whereas the availability is fairly high during the wind season. Therefore, there is a need to analyze this on atleast monthly basis. The petition does not clearly bring out that the Restriction and Control measures or the Load shedding shall be withdrawn or reduced, if this power purchase is resorted to. For a specific query from the Commission with regard to how surplus power will be handled on a real time basis and how the cost of power purchase would be

optimized, the TANGEDCO sought additional time for furnishing the details. Accordingly, time was granted for filling the additional details. The petitioner was also directed to file the petition after getting approval from the Board of Directors.

The Commission also pointed out that the process of estimation of demand is rough and talks in terms of round the clock power. To reduce the cost of power purchase under these circumstances, one option could be to call tenders with two part tariff which will enable purchase of energy according to requirements. The petitioner is at liberty to come up with any other better alternative.

Thiru S. Gandhi, PESOT was present in the court during the hearing. At the conclusion of hearing of this petition Thiru Gandhi submitted a letter requesting the Commission to place the petition for public hearing, to ensure transparency as mandated under Section 86 (3) of Electricity Act 2003. This letter of Thiru Gandhi will be dealt with in accordance with the provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 and the Regulations made there under.

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(K. Venugopal)**  
**Member**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**